

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/597,937	TERADA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Megan McCulley	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>active</u>	
(1) <u>Megan McCulley</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Daniel Altman</u> .		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>23 December 2008</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>none</i>			
Claims discussed:			
8			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>none</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Correction of formal matters: typographical error-glycidoxypyl should be glycidoxypropyl.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			